

Nick Bryant is a roving reporter for the BBC and works in Australia as one of their most trusted correspondents. He served as the BBC Washington correspondent, covering the Bush and Clinton presidencies, and was also based in South Asia, where he reported from Afghanistan, India and Pakistan. He has written for *The Times, Economist, Independent, Australian* and *Wall Street Journal.* Nick studied history at Cambridge and has a doctorate in American politics from Oxford. He lives in Sydney with his wife and son.

CONFESSIONS FROM CORRESPONDENTLAND

NICK BRYANT



A Oneworld Book

Published by Oneworld Publications 2012 Originally published in Australia by William Heinemann, an imprint of Random House Australia Pty Ltd, Level 3, 100 Pacific Highway, North Sydney NSW 2060

Copyright © Nick Bryant 2011, 2012

The moral rights of the author have been asserted.

The moral right of Nick Bryant to be identified as the Author of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988

All rights reserved Copyright under the Berne convention A CIP record of this title is available from the British Library

> ISBN 978-1-85168-933-0 ebook ISBN 978-1-78074-101-7

Internal design by Xou, Australia Typeset in Sabon by Xou, Australia Cover design by Patrick Knowles Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Mackays Ltd, UK

> Oneworld Publications 185 Banbury Road Oxford OX2 7AR

Stay up to date with the latest books, special offers, and exclusive content from Oneworld with our monthly newsletter

Sign up on our website www.oneworld-publications.com

Contents

Introduction	n Hotel Kabul	1
Chapter 1	Two Bad Saturdays and a Very Good Friday	26
Chapter 2	Dear Bill	61
Chapter 3	W	94
Chapter 4	9/11	130
Chapter 5	Happy Meals at Guantanamo	150
Chapter 6	Afghanistan: America's Forgotten War	178
Chapter 7	Pakistan: The Land of the Double Game	217
Chapter 8	India Shining	237
Chapter 9	Battlefields in Paradise	276
Chapter 10	Year of Disasters	305
Chapter 11	The Search for an Indian Bride	332
Chapter 12	Lie Back and Think of Australia	347
Chapter 13	Rolling History	370
Postscript		396
Acknowledgements		412

Introduction Hotel Kabul

he laundry list at the Kabul Intercontinental spoke of the sudden changes that had overtaken my life: shirts, \$1; trousers, \$3; commando suits, \$15. In the lobby down below, chipboard hoardings covered a row of shattered windows, destroyed the week before when Taliban insurgents launched a rocket attack that showered glass on new arrivals checking in at reception and knocked diners in the restaurant off their chairs. Prostrate at my feet was our translator, a Kandahari man with rotting teeth, two wives, extravagantly applied black eyeliner and a vaguely flirtatious stare, who was kneeling towards Mecca in readiness for sundown prayers. Just over the way was a huge white marquee, a gift from Germany, where it had previously been used to host Rhineland beer festivals. Now, two years on from the attacks of 9/11, it played host to tribal leaders, returned refugees and even women's rights activists who had gathered for a loya *jirga*, a grand council, convened to pave the way for elections now that the Taliban had fled the capital. Outside the window, howling manically, was a pack of feral dogs tearing apart a defenceless goat, which was usefully metaphoric for the power struggles about to be unleashed next door.

Cast in the role of founding fathers was a disreputable

collection of unreformed warlords, who arrived at the opening ceremony of the *loya jirga* in brand-new SUVs, with smokeglassed windows and Kalashnikov-toting bodyguards at front and rear. Slaloming through the thigh-high concrete blast walls past policemen wearing heavy, Stalin-style overcoats and soldiers sitting atop rusting Soviet-era tanks, the length of their motorcades was a measure of their power.

Following in their wake came a mud-splattered white minibus carrying a children's choir dressed in traditional costumes representing every ethnic tribe. Picked as much for their cuteness as for their musicianship, these infants had spent weeks rehearsing a special Afghan peace song. Presumably, the American and United Nations image-makers who had choreographed this pageant intended the cameras to focus on these beatific youngsters. Alas, when the choir drew up to the convention site, the children had to sprint from their minibus to the safety of the marquee with the scrambling urgency of pupils escaping a Columbine-style shooting, such was the fear that Taliban snipers could take potshots from the mountains high above. Since the grammar of television news demands that reports begin with an exclamation mark, the pictures were irresistible and ideal for an opening montage of shots.

Kabul felt different. Momentous. Thrilling. After spending much of the previous five years perched inside a backless tent on a rooftop high above the White House, providing a galloping commentary on the presidencies of Bill Clinton and George W. Bush, I had made it back to that kingdom of the journalistic mind that perhaps we should call 'Correspondentland'. That place of boundless adventure, breathless reportage and ill-fitting flak jackets, of khaki waistcoats with a ridiculous surfeit of pockets, often exaggerated tales of derring-do and occasional moments of extreme personal recklessness, which we preferred to call bravery.

In Washington, my colleagues in the West Wing press pack were a clean-cut bunch with near-perfect teeth, hurricane-proof hair and the kind of smiles that did not quite say 'Have a nice day' but were buoyant nonetheless. In Kabul, the conflict-frazzled journalists looked like extras from the set of an Indiana Jones remake after months of on-location filming. That simple difference in clothing spoke of the frontier I had crossed: I had gone from being a suits correspondent to rejoining the fraternity of boots.

Travelling the world with the White House press corps, we used to grumble that the seats in business class on the press charter did not fully recline, or that the souvenir stands outside our hotel filing centres had only a narrow range of carved African tribal decorations (Abuja), babushka dolls (Moscow) or Imodium (Delhi). Here at Hotel Kabul, however, the phrase 'occupational hazards' truly meant just that, from the plug-less heaters in our ice-cold rooms, which could only be activated by jamming two frayed wires into a live power socket, to the outdoor pool, which was shamrock green. As for the chipboard hoardings in the foyer, they were a complete conversational taboo. Even to mention them was a sign that you did not belong, and no one would admit to that.

At a time when Iraq was in virtual lockdown, with many international journalists wary of leaving their bureaux or hotels out of fear of being kidnapped and then beheaded, Afghanistan was not only a must-see destination on the post-9/11 beat but also a can-see destination. For foreign correspondents, it had long been viewed as a kind of adult theme park, divided, Disney-like, into a hotchpotch of different realms. There was Warlordland: the personal fiefdoms of vying chieftains – hard men with heavily armed private armies, homicidal tendencies and ludicrously gauche

mansions with pink stucco facades funded from the proceeds of black-tar heroin. Warlordland, as often as not, occupied the same turf as Opiumland, the vast swathes of countryside planted with poppy flowers that continued to be the source of ninety per cent of the world's heroin. Even then, two years after the 'liberation' of Kabul, it was possible to speak of a Talibanland: the pockets of obdurate resistance in the south and east of the country, where black-turban-clad Talibs imposed their murderous reading of sharia law.

More familiar to a recent transplant from Washington was a kind of Main Street, USA, located at Bagram Air Base, a sprawling military complex 40 minutes from Kabul. In observance of the rule that wherever American soldiers tread fast food must quickly follow, there was a Burger King, Pizza Hut, Popeyes Chicken and a number of Seattle-style coffee bars – food and drink intended as much for its comfort as its speed. Just about the only thing that was not super-sized about the American presence was its fighting contingent, for the simple reason that so many soldiers had been diverted to Iraq.

Though the men and women who remained behind sported T-shirts decorated with the slogan 'Get Osama for Mama' – gone now was the Cold War chic of 'Kill a Commie for Mommy' – it was proving infuriatingly difficult to establish the precise whereabouts of Osama bin Laden. He was thought to be somewhere over the Pakistan border in lawless Waziristan, hunkered down in some cave or secret compound, but the search for Saddam Hussein's latest bolt-hole, along with those elusive weapons of mass destruction, had now taken precedence.

Like all good theme parks, this new Afghanistan was based to a large extent on pretence and unreality, and, as was often the way in the post-9/11 world, few things were quite as they seemed. Consider the road from Kabul to Kandahar. Long stretches had been recently covered with asphalt in what was now being touted as a monument to post-war reconstruction. Yet when a section of the highway was opened on the eve of the loya jirga, VIPs had to be helicoptered to the site of the ceremony because it was too hazardous to drive. The Taliban regularly killed and kidnapped the construction workers who had built it, and now threatened to turn their guns on the visiting dignitaries. That day, the country's interim leader, and future president, Hamid Karzai, who arrived wearing his trademark Karakul hat made from the downy fur of lamb foetuses, performed the ribbon-cutting duties. Yet Karzai only rarely ventured beyond the city limits of the capital, and thus the de facto president of Afghanistan was commonly known as 'the Mayor of Kabul' - a lesser title that tidily captured his powerlessness.

Likewise, the *loya jirga* was presented as a cradle of democracy, even though the presence of so many warlords, and their involvement in the national government, implied that any form of parliamentary government would be dead at birth. With these militia commanders sitting threateningly at the front of the stage, like an identity parade of suspected war criminals, free speech and debate were impaired severely, if not virtually impossible. When a brave young female delegate, an émigré recently returned from the United States, rose to her feet to harangue the warlords for their barbarous ways, she had to be closeted away for weeks at a United Nations compound, Kabul's impromptu version of the witness-protection programme. After that, there were not many dissenting voices, since so few people could muster the courage to speak out. Rendered mute, their reticence offered the most

eloquent statement on the true state of Afghanistan.

Unspeaking or not, the Afghans themselves were notoriously hard to read. In a country where the life expectancy was then just 42, most of the men looked prematurely old, sometimes by as much as a decade or even more. The ravages of 30 years of almost continual state of war had not so much been written on their faces as carved with a blunt chisel. Some of the most macho militiamen were unabashed paedophiles, who routinely buggered the beardless young dancing boys who dressed in women's clothes with bells strapped to their feet in a custom known as *bacha bazi*.

Still more impenetrable were the country's mothers and daughters. Even in Kabul, a large proportion of them continued to don pale-blue burqas, despite the abolition of the Taliban decrees that threatened public stonings if they appeared outside without them. But how many women continued to wear these sunbleached gowns out of choice, in observance of their faith, and how many wore them out of compulsion, in fear of their husbands and imams? Only a small number would speak, making it almost impossible to tell. And we urgently needed their testimony to get a handle on Realityland.

Unable to assemble a collage made up of individual stories, we tended to peddle grand narratives instead, with all the exaggerations and simplifications that went with them. At the very moment that nuance and complexity were demanded of reporters covering the post-9/11 world, all too often we succumbed to the Bush administration's insistence on clarity and certainty. Good versus evil; for us or against us; New Europe as opposed to Old Europe; democracy against totalitarianism; modernity against nihilism; and all the Manichean variations. We also adopted its nomenclature. What truly should have been called 'the Bush administration's war on terror' came to be known, more simply and lazily, as 'the war on terror', as if it had our endorsement. It was only much later that we added the qualifier.

Nowhere was this sense of alignment stronger than in Washington. When first I started reporting from the American capital, at the height of the Monica Lewinsky scandal in 1998, I quickly got used to the sight of members of the White House press corps rising to their feet even when the president came into their presence. Soiled blue dress or not, he was, after all, their head of state. In the aftermath of 9/11, however, too many reporters dropped to bended knee. Polished enamel flag-pins started appearing on the suit lapels just as quickly as scepticism started disappearing from their copy.

Few were as brazen as Geraldo Rivera of Fox News, who bought a pistol and vowed to gun down Osama bin Laden, but by the same token few wanted to be labelled as unpatriotic. On overseas trips with the president, one of the chief wire reporters, whose copy commonly set the tone of the overall coverage, took to wearing an NYPD baseball cap to display his fealty. It did not mean necessarily that he agreed with the Bush administration, but it hinted at a 'Don't fuck with America' bunker mentality that the White House tended to take on the road. It was a climate in which half-truths and half-baked theories, especially about the stockpiling of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, were often accepted unquestioningly as gospel. Why, faulty intelligence even made regular appearances on the front page of the *New York Times*, that one-time haven of reportorial infallibility, which gave it the stamp of truth.

Even before 9/11, George W. Bush had mastered the art of flattering the White House press corps – a technique that,

paradoxically, centred on their belittlement. Favoured reporters were given playful nicknames, which some interpreted as an attempt to create the sophomoric bonhomie and occasional cruelty of a college frat-house, but which felt more like a final club at Harvard because of its exclusive membership. The tallest reporter in the press pool was christened 'Super-stretch', while the next in Bush's vertiginous ranking system became known as 'Stretch' and then 'Little Stretch'. The reporter for the wire service The Associated Press was known always as 'the AP person', while a partially blind reporter who then worked for the *Los Angeles Times* was called 'Shades'.

A nickname not only conferred insider status, and admitted selected reporters into a kind of West Wing brotherhood, but also changed the character of presidential press conferences and the more regular question-and-answer sessions in the Oval Office. Ideally, they should have been combative, like a martial art where the skirmish was bookended with protocols of respect. But the genius of Bush's bantering style was that it disarmed so many of his potential critics.

During the period of ultra-patriotism that followed the attacks, when home-grown journalists could perhaps be forgiven for their lapses, the foreign press should have filled the void. But most of us had ended up in America precisely because we loved America, so we, too, often equated scepticism with disloyalty. In the months and years after the attacks of 9/11, we should have been solely dedicated to the quest for understanding, but frequently we were sidetracked, along with our American colleagues, by a desire for revenge. We, too, had been caught in the clutch of circumstance, and it made some of us temporarily lose our grip.

Perhaps my reassignment to South Asia, where it was easier to

take a more dispassionate look at the Bush administration's war on terrorism, was an attempt to balance the ledger. Perhaps I was living out a personal variant of what the then secretary of state Colin Powell described as the 'Pottery Barn rule', his unheeded warning to President Bush on the eve of the Iraq war: you break it, you buy it. Perhaps I was simply more interested in America's original post-9/11 mission, the hunt for Osama bin Laden.

Yet I am in danger of sounding maudlin, when my real intention is to convey the fun and white-knuckle thrills of Correspondentland, along with my good fortune in ending up there. Certainly, it seemed a distant prospect on the morning I sat my university entrance exam, when my history teacher swung by to tell me that the examiners would look upon my application with hilarity, which he later claimed was a textbook example of successful reverse psychology but at the time seemed rather callous. Fortunately, the dons at Cambridge were gentler and offered me a place based on a few semi-coherent essays on the economic impact of proportional reputation, of all things, that vexed historical perennial the Irish question, and the societal scourge 'known as football hooliganism', in the cultivated wording of the examination paper.

Had I been more philosophically minded, I might have been tempted to answer the 'Is this a question?' teaser, which now sounds like the sort of thing Bill Clinton might have said under oath during a deposition. Yet I played it safe and was offered a place conditional on me passing my A-levels, which was a formality, and demonstrating a rudimentary knowledge of a foreign language, which was anything but. Shamefaced as I am to admit this, languages have never been my forte, and it required the combined forces of a French teacher, the head of modern languages and even

one-on-one sessions with the headmaster to pass muster. Unused to getting pupils into Oxbridge, my comprehensive school on the outskirts of Bristol went all out, and lavished upon me the kind of attention now reserved for contestants on an extreme-makeover show.

Perhaps it was the panic of being compelled to learn French in the space of a few months that made me forget it almost as quickly, which helps explain what to this day remains my most embarrassing moment on air. It came in Paris during the 1997 French elections, when I launched into a live interview with a guest who had arrived just as the presenter in London crossed to me and who did not speak a word of English. This became apparent immediately when he stared at me blankly at the end of my first question – my second, too – and responded with a baffled 'pardon?'. Just about all that my surviving French vocabulary would facilitate was the daily procurement of coffees and pastries in the patisserie next to our Paris bureau. Needless to say, my most awkward interview doubled as my shortest, and I handed back to London more quickly than my guest could say '*je ne comprends pas*'.

I had gone up to Cambridge to read architecture, that most multidimensional of subjects, and floundered from the outset. Judged solely on their aesthetics, my proposed buildings were rather eye-catching. At least, I thought so at the time. Structurally, however, they were calamitous. Like foreign languages, maths and physics were weak subjects for me at school, but they were twin disciplines that any budding architect needed to master. Fearing I would never do so, I sought refuge in the history faculty, which was housed in a startling modern building designed by Britain's most celebrated architect, which was continually being plugged for leaks.

My new history tutor, who regarded me as an academic

Hotel Kabul

dilettante, was not particularly welcoming and often started supervisions by looking me up and down with utter disdain. 'Nicholas,' he would say sternly, 'you look like you have come straight from the sports field.' Here, however, he was only halfright, for I had often stopped off on the way back from a football or cricket match via the offices of the university newspaper, where I had handed in my latest copy or picked up my next assignment.

Promiscuous as a student journalist, I covered everything from the usual undergraduate rent strikes to a crazed boffin, never to be heard of again, who believed he had come up with a cosmological construct rivalling Einstein's theory of relativity; and from visiting politicians at the fag end of the Thatcher years to the KGB's recruitment of Cambridge undergraduates in the dying days of the Cold War. With the Berlin Wall about to tumble, along with the statues of various eastern European tyrants - and at a time when post-war history dons started lectures by brandishing their now redundant study notes and theatrically tearing them into pieces - these were thrilling days for the news business. Yet, however much I would like to claim the 1989 revolutions as the crucible moment in my professional life - my very own perestroika moment, if you like - the move towards journalism was more gradual and less epiphanic. Perhaps after years spent poring over newspapers, drinking coffee, propping up bars and occasionally having to write something, it seemed as good a way as any of prolonging my student life.

For now, though, I had come up with a simpler strategy for remaining a student, which was to remain a student. Much to the surprise of my history tutor, for whom I was always something of a backslider, I became a born-again academic: a Damascene conversion that set me on the road to Oxford, where I enrolled as a PhD student in American politics.

Studying President John F. Kennedy's record as an undergraduate, I had started to suspect that my childhood hero was not quite as heroic as history had cast him, particularly when it came to dismantling segregation in the American south. So, as a postgraduate, I set about trying to debunk the myth that Kennedy should be spoken of in the same breath as Abraham Lincoln, as the second Great Emancipator. JFK's response to the March on Washington, where King successfully subpoenaed the conscience of white America with his 'I have a dream' speech, was a case in point. Fearing its violent potential, the Kennedy administration first tried to persuade King and his cohorts to cancel the protest. When that failed, it ordered up one of the biggest peacetime military mobilisations in American history to ensure that it did not degenerate into a mass brawl.

On the 30th anniversary of King's speech, a newspaper column on 'The Race Riot That Never Was', as the subeditors headlined the piece, gave me my first byline in a national broadsheet. By now, however, I was making regular trips up the motorway to London, where I had been given my first part-time job in what was still known as Fleet Street, even though all the newspapers had moved out.

Bizarrely, and for reasons that we will touch briefly upon later, it came at a high-society gossip column in London, for the *Evening Standard*. Here, the idea of a scoop was to reveal that the Duchess of Devonshire's crocuses had bloomed unseasonably early or that some doddery viscount had tripped on the steps of The Athenaeum Club, sprained his wrist and discovered that he could not lift a shotgun for at least three weeks, thus ruling him out of the start of the grouse season. Since the sparse details of these stories could usually be recounted in the space of the opening paragraph, or often the opening sentence, the skill was to pad them out with reaction from other toffs, who would provide quotes that were sympathetic, incredulous or, best of all, witheringly snotty. Then the prominence attached to each story would be determined by either the rank of those involved or the standing of those who volunteered quotes – where they fitted within what I suppose could be described as a sliding scale of social hierarchy, so long as it is understood that the slides took place, like slowly shifting tectonic plates, over many hundreds of years.

With British celebrity culture now in full flower, other parts of the paper focused on A-, B- or C-list celebs. Maintaining a quaint fastidiousness, 'The Londoner's Diary' was obsessed instead with the title that preceded the name – unless, of course, the letters spelt out HRH. It was a recondite world in which dukes would always lord it over a marquess, a viscount would always trump a baron and a royal, however minor, would always be guaranteed the banner headline. With no contacts within the upper echelons of high society, and little chance of making any, I came brandishing high-table tittle-tattle from Oxford. I also had nuggets of gossip ground from the rumour mill of Westminster, much of which started out as pillow talk courtesy of my girlfriend at the time, who worked as a parliamentary researcher and ended up in the Cabinet.

The diary's ruddy-faced editor, who was charming to the point of unctuousness in the company of the aristocrats who appeared in his pages, was a tyrant towards those who worked for him – or under him, as he preferred to think of it. His great early-morning ritual, which was conducted in courtly silence, saw

him move from one desk to the other, listening to each frail-voiced reporter as they opened up their notebooks and sketched out their freshest varn. Then, after a sometimes interminable pause, the editor would deliver his verdict: a cackle of high-pitched delight that sounded like an orgasmic hyena, or a violent tantrum in which his complexion changed from red to beetroot to rosewood. Then, he would move to the next desk, the blood having drained from his face, and repeat the entire spectacle again. Needless to say, the punishment meted out to new recruits was always especially cruel, particularly those who failed to arrive with any gossip worth publishing – an egregious lapse that automatically disqualified them from ever being invited back again. Withering scoldings were the norm. Tears were not uncommon. Once, an empty-handed new recruit was dispatched to the basement, mop in hand, where he was instructed to wash the editor's car, still caked in mud after a weekend hunting trip.

Happily, I never became the target of his tyrannical rants, nor the victim of a management technique modelled on the publicschool fagging system. On reflection, I think it helped that the editor, who had always struggled to locate my classless accent, thought I was Australian, which granted me a kind of diplomatic immunity. By the time that I corrected him, classlessness had become so fashionable in modern Britain that he considered me a useful addition to his stable, which at that point only included thoroughbreds with pukka bloodlines.

Despite being made to feel unexpectedly welcome, then, I was determined to bid farewell to the world of high-society gossip before the Duchess of Devonshire's crocuses could bloom again. After finishing my PhD and moving permanently up to London, I started to plot my next upward move. It came via an escalator that took me to the tabloid newspaper on the floor above, the *Daily Mail*, a still more brutal environment where the editor was so profligate with his use of a certain swearword that his mid-morning conference became known as 'The Vagina Monologue'.

Thankfully, I found refuge opposite the paper's industrial correspondent, a castaway from Fleet Street who smoked so many cigarettes that he produced almost as many emissions as the few surviving industries left for him to cover. When I arrived, just about his most glamorous assignment was a week in Blackpool covering the Trade Union Congress annual conference, but in years gone by he had navigated much more exotic and turbulent waters. Not only had he been one of the first British journalists to yomp into Port Stanley to see the Union Flag hoisted again over a newly liberated Falkland Islands, but he had also been imprisoned in Tehran during the Iranian Revolution and was rumoured still to be living off the overtime. Folklore had it that when finally he made it back to London he could empty any pub in Fleet Street by wailing the Islamic call to prayer that used to wake him in the morning and prevent him sleeping at night.

The man was a tabloid genius, his fingers dancing over his ashspecked keyboard, a cigarette always dangling from his mouth, as he produced near flawless copy on virtually any given subject. One day, he was instructed to produce a colour piece on the plight of a Cornish fishing village labouring under some new missive from Brussels, an assignment completed by early in the afternoon with aplomb. The smell of fish was the smell of life itself, according to his first paragraph, in a piece rich with character and local colour. However, his evocative opening had been filed, like the rest of the story, not from the quayside in Cornwall but from his desk

in Kensington High Street. For months afterwards, colleagues pinched their noses as they walked past our desk, complaining about the stench of rotting mackerel. 'They asked me to be creative with my copy,' the industrial correspondent would harrumph, in his thick Lancastrian burr, 'so I hope they won't mind me being creative with my expenses.'

For all the tabloid tomfoolery, there were few better places to learn the rudiments of journalism. To begin with, the editors and subs were absolute sticklers for accuracy. Consider the night that a Conservative member of parliament was found dead at his home in Chiswick, trussed up in a complex series of wires, levers and pulleys, dressed in stockings and suspenders and with his teeth clenching a citrus fruit. Was it a tangerine, a nectarine or a satsuma that had contributed to his autoerotic asphyxiation? It was the job of the paper's squadron of crime reporters to find out definitively, having been told that 'a small orange' simply would not suffice.

There was the single-minded pursuit of the stories, fuelled by the career-enhancing hope of front-page glory and the careerending fear of returning empty-handed to the newsroom. Then there was the assiduous cultivation of contacts. The crime boys regularly went on after-work benders with officers from Scotland Yard, the cantankerous motoring correspondent was clearly a buddy of Stirling Moss's – the only time his face showed anything resembling a smile was when his hard-pressed secretary announced that Stirling was on line one – and the royal correspondent was a close personal confidant of Princess Diana. One lunchtime, I watched in admiration from a local coffee shop as he descended into an underground car park near Kensington Palace for what I assumed must be a Deep Throat-style rendezvous with Her Royal Highness. When the paper hit the news-stands the following morning, he was the author of the front-page splash, yet another of his Diana exclusives.

Though I had the lowliest of jobs at the paper, there were odd occasions when I could cause a flurry of mild excitement on the newsdesk. While the England cricket team was on tour in the West Indies, I discovered that the captain's then girlfriend might also be playing away – a story that wound up on page three. It meant that at the very moment a menacing quartet of West Indian fast bowlers were aiming bouncers at Mike Atherton's head, a home-town tabloid had aimed a beamer squarely at his heart.

But my biggest scoop belonged on the front page: the tale of how a Labour Party frontbencher, a household name to boot, was regularly bonking his secretary. Impeccably sourced and legally watertight, the story could have made my tabloid name. Alas, I could never bring myself to share the details with the news editor. Working on the Street of Shame had already aroused feelings of uneasiness, and to have done the dirty would have brought on an even worse bout of existential torment.

Years later, the *News of the World* revealed that the new foreign secretary Robin Cook was leaving his wife to be with his long-time Westminster mistress. Yet, in common with a surprising number of tabloid hacks, who regularly withhold embarrassing secrets out of compassion for their prospective victims, I opted for valour over glory. From that moment on, in the time-honoured custom of British tabloids, I realised that I would have to make my excuses and leave.

All along, the BBC had been the target of my ambitions. Arriving late one afternoon at JFK airport in New York at the end of a trip to the Galapagos Islands, I heard from my flatmate back

home that the corporation wanted me to sit a written examination in London the very next morning. With only an overnight flight's worth of time to prepare, I did what any self-respecting British journalist would do: buy a copy of *The Economist* and read it forensically from cover to cover. It was exactly the kind of 'cuts job', in fact, that I would routinely perform as a foreign correspondent.

By the time I touched down at Heathrow, I was not only fluent in the gross domestic product of all the Benelux countries, but I could also quote their seasonally adjusted rates of inflation – factoids that proved to be entirely superfluous when I sat my test but served the useful purpose of giving me almost bulletproof self-confidence. Fortunately, the examiners looked kindly on my answers, as did a 'board' of interviewers drawn from the upper reaches of BBC management who cross-examined me the following week. My curriculum vitae, no doubt like theirs, was embellished with degrees from the usual suspects, along with the names of some illustrious academic referees: precisely the kinds of adornments that the BBC always favoured. However, the clincher for my new employer was unquestionably my sojourn with a tabloid.

If my time at the *Evening Standard* and *Daily Mail* sharpened my news senses, my first months at the BBC were spent straightening out my syntax and grammar. I, like my seven fellow trainees, came under the tutelage of an old hand from the radio newsroom, renowned throughout the correspondent corps for his grammatical punctiliousness. War reporters filing from the most perilous of hotspots were regularly stopped in mid-flow at the mention of a split infinitive or dangling participle, even if it meant the hurried refile was punctuated instead by the sound of ricocheting bullets. Clamouring for a slice of this kind of action,

we, too, hoped soon to be shouting into microphones over the din of exploding ordnance, but for now we were held captive in a firstfloor classroom at Broadcasting House in London, the inspiration for Orwell's Ministry of Truth, grappling with the basics of the English language in our own version of Room 101.

Our escape came when we were dispatched, like fresh-faced subalterns, to the regional outposts of the BBC empire for a week in local radio. I opted for Liverpool, partly because the city had become something of a production line for improbable stories and partly because BBC Radio Merseyside had such a strong reputation for packaging and distributing them. Its office was also located on the dreamy-sounding Paradise Street, which seemed in my mind at least to rival other great Liverpudlian landmarks such as Strawberry Field and Penny Lane, and thus sounded vaguely providential.

Old Radio Merseyside hands who had graduated to The Network, as London was reverently known, warned me to expect a tough, hard-hitting newsroom full of jaundiced old hacks who despised London and looked upon news trainees from the capital in much the same way that Romans used to watch Christians sent up from the dungeons of the Colosseum. But whereas I arrived expecting the *Boys from the Blackstuff*, I was greeted instead by Carry on Broadcasting.

As I walked through the doors on Paradise Street and took my seat in reception, a chirpy disc jockey, aided by his fabulously camp sidekick, was halfway through his daily general-knowledge quiz in which the good people of Merseyside were invited to hold their plums. Later, I learnt that 'Hold Your Plums' – a double entendre plucked from the fruit machines – was as much a Scouser institution as The Cavern Club or the Mersey ferry, and that one listener had enshrined herself in local folklore by replying 'Heil'

when asked to provide Hitler's first name. But for now, as I waited to be introduced to the news editor, 'Hold Your Plums' served the useful purpose of vastly inflating my own testicular fortitude. If Radio Merseyside could hold its plums, then perhaps it might even take a nervous young trainee to its heart.

Certainly, they were kind enough to give me a slice of some of the best stories, the first of which more than adequately met the description 'Only in Merseyside'. It was week two of the national lottery, and a local teenager had come up with the brilliant ruse of buying a new ticket featuring last week's winning numbers and splicing it together with an old ticket featuring last week's date. Joyous that the city had produced one of the first lottery millionaires, the local paper splashed a photo of the photocopied ticket, being brandished proudly by its newly minted owner, across its front page. Alas, lottery officials in London quickly detected the stench of a giant-sized rat and revealed the next morning that, of the handful of winning tickets, none had been purchased anywhere near the north-west. With the paper now demanding to see the original ticket, and with the teenager unable to provide it, he did a runner, and Liverpool was left to ruminate, as Liverpool so often does, on how it had produced the first lottery fraudster rather than one of its inaugural millionaires.

By now, the youngster had been missing a few days, and I was dispatched to interview his anguished mother in a lace-curtain bungalow on the fringes of town. Still in the overly diligent phase of my career, my notepad was filled with an exhaustive list of questions, but the interview required only one. 'What would you say to Jonny,' I asked in a voice of faux concern that I would come to perfect over the years, 'if he's listening to this broadcast?'

'Come home, Jonny, come home!' came her howling reply.

'COME HOME!'

Fortunately, Jonny did come home shortly afterwards, just in time for tea – or, for the purposes of Radio Merseyside, just in time for its drive-time programme, where he was given a stiff on-air reprimand from the presenter, who placed him in the radio equivalent of the public stocks.

By the end of our training, we were equipped with all manner of skills and expertise, which seem antique now and seemed antique back then. In the days of reel-to-reel recorders, the tools of our trade were white chinagraph pencils, razor blades and thin reels of sticky tape, with which we marked, slashed and then spliced together the soundbites making up our reports. A hesitant interviewee, with a bad 'um' and 'ah' habit, could take hours to edit, or 'de-um', in editing parlance.

Still more frustrating was the time we consumed foraging under edit machines among discarded piles of magnetic tape, in the hope of finding a now-needed thought or abandoned consonant or vowel that had been rashly thrown onto the cuttingroom floor. On occasions, it could be hazardous work as well, especially when deadlines pressed in and the required blade-work was undertaken at a furious pace. To this day, my body bears just two scars from my years as a correspondent: a wound on the crown of my head sustained on the subcontinent, which we will come to in due course, and a diagonal disfigurement at the top of one of my fingers, when my razor blade missed the editing block and de-ummed my flesh.

To save my body from further impairment, I learnt the trick of alternating the shoulder on which I carried my German-made reel-to-reel tape recorder, a device called a Uher that felt like it had been minted out of lead. It rescued me from a handicap common

among radio journalists of a certain vintage: the Uher droop.

As our training progressed, we worked on our correspondent voices mainly by ventriloquising the correspondent voices of others. We learnt that good television was bereft of adjectival padding, that the trick always was to look for small, humanising details and that the great BBC fallback line of enquiry if, in a live interview, all your pre-prepared questions have been exhausted with two minutes left to run is 'How will this news be received in the south of the country?'. It works virtually every time.

But after our training was complete, I remember being left with a needling sense of the limitations of our new mediums. Most of us had come from newspapers, the purest form of journalism, where stories were usually long enough to accommodate the twin luxuries of explication and complication. By contrast, television news rewarded brevity and simplicity, and reduced multifaceted stories to their most elemental parts. It did to news what Hollywood movies routinely do to the works of great fiction: plotlines that could not easily be retold were simply discarded; peripheral characters were banished from view; and the temptation was not just to simplify but to exaggerate. Much like a reader would barely recognise a much-loved novel after it was put through the cinematic wringer, the characters in our news reports would often identify only with the shadowy outline of their story.

The cadetship finished as it had started, with a written test, and then we were absorbed, like low-grade motor oil, into the BBC news machine. I ended up at our rolling news channel, Radio Five Live, which had so many hours of airtime to fill that even new arrivals were allowed to plug the gaps.

Sure enough, by day two I had been handed my first assignment. That morning, the Daily Mirror had managed to

expose the cracks in Downing Street security by squirrelling a reporter into the prime minister's office. As the latest recruit, I was tasked with revealing the fissures in *Daily Mirror* security by squirrelling myself into the editor's office high above Canary Wharf.

From getting lost on the Docklands Light Railway to finding myself temporarily imprisoned in the stairwell of a 230-metre skyscraper, my first forays could hardly be described as auspicious. But the fear of returning to the newsroom empty-handed pushed me on, and I eventually managed to sneak past the security guards at reception, locate the executive floor and get within metres of the editor's office. All the way, I had been faithfully capturing every moment of haplessness, and now my tape recorder was in record mode as I took my final steps towards glory.

With the spires of the City in the near distance and the arc of the Thames below, the view from Piers Morgan's office was magnificent, and I took great delight in describing the panorama to our listeners. Soon, my commentary was interrupted, as obviously I hoped it would be, by a fretful secretary mortified that I was reclining in her boss's executive leather chair. Then came Piers Morgan himself, who was happy to play along in this pantomime by delivering a gentle scolding and describing me as a journalistic low-life.

By now, the main challenge was to make it back to Broadcasting House in central London, and to brandish my razor blade with sufficient speed to deliver the report for the late-afternoon show. With the deadline bearing down on me, I pulled it off with the help of an industrious sound engineer, who underlaid the piece with that most hackneyed of musical clichés, the pounding theme tune from *Mission Impossible*. Over consecutive hours, we ran

the story in two parts: the first ending with your correspondent banging helplessly on the door of some random office 43 floors up as I tried to escape from the fire escape; the second ending with the self-congratulatory words 'Mission accomplished', a phrase that would feature in very different circumstances much later in my career.

For now, though, as the music died away and the presenter guffawed with delight, I basked in something that I have never managed to replicate: a ripple of applause that spread throughout the newsroom. I had delivered a piece of light entertainment rather than hard-hitting journalism, but it mattered not. After two days spent on the nursery slopes of my career, I had been earmarked as a black-run reporter. So much so that when the news came through from Jerusalem 48 hours later, the newsdesk rang to tell me that I had been booked on a plane at dawn the following morning. It was 4 November 1995, and the Israeli prime minister, Yitzhak Rabin, had just been assassinated. My journeys as a foreign correspondent were about to begin.

As for the *loya jirga* in Kabul, the children's choir eventually made it through the security checks and barbed wire to perform on stage before the delegates. Their song was of a land tired of suffering and unfaithfulness, of a country lonely and unhealed, of stars and moons, of poetry and song, and of saddened and weary hearts. As no doubt intended, it provided the ideal coda for our report, but now we feared it might never even be aired. A giant red banner had just appeared on the bottom of the television screen in our hotel room, pulsating with the words 'BREAKING NEWS'.

Moments later, America's top official in Iraq, 'the American Viceroy' L. Paul Bremer, stepped beaming before the cameras (prematurely, the Bush White House would later complain) to deliver a six-word announcement: 'Ladies and gentlemen, we got him!' All that night, and well into the next week, the bulletins would be dominated by the extraordinary sight of a one-time dictator with a ragged grey beard having a swab of DNA taken by a US military doctor wearing white rubber gloves and wielding a wooden spatula. Saddam Hussein had been captured, and the headlines belonged to another corner of Correspondentland.